

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/437,764	11/09/1999	LOUIS C. YUN	015685-032/5	8412
7	590 06/18/2002			
GREGORY D. CALDWELL BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BLVD			EXAMINER	
			LOGSDON, JOSEPH B	
SEVENTH FL LOS ANGELE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
20071110000	,		2662	

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	No		
. Advisory Action	09/437,764	YUN ET AL.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joe Logsdon	2662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	SS		
THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply ich places the applica	y to a tion in		
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the datatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. Set 136(a) and the appropriate e e fee. The appropriate exter the final Office action; or (2	e MPEP extension fee nsion fee under) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 May 2002</u> . Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	pellant's Brief must be filed with FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	in the period set forth of the appeal.	in		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	s.		
3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s): The rejections of claims 6	-9 and 15-18 under 35 เ	<i>J.S.C. 103(a)</i> .		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request f application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	5:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 6-9 and 15-18.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,10-14 and 19-23</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		Λ			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exami	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	1/12-1/4/			
10. Other: Solve Sand Office	CO AUTION HASSAN SUPERVISORY PA				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	TECHNOLOGY	CENTER 2600			





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the prior art does not appl because the "probing signal" of the prior art is not a "pilot signal" as recited in the claims. Brennan et al., however, reveals that a probe signal is, in a similar context to that of the claims, also a pilot signal (abstract; column 2, lines 43-51). Contrary to Applicant's interpretation, the context of Brennan et al. makes clear that "probe or pilot" implies that the same signal could be called either a probe signal or a pilot signal. Applicant's argument that a "probing signal" is not an "existing pilot signal" is irrelevant because the claims do no recite "existing pilot signal."

Applicant's argument that a pilot signal and a probing signal are necessarily different is unpersuasive-except in the field of CDMA. Page 8 of the specification describes the pilot signal with reference to the IS-95 standard, which is used only for illustrative purposes. Most of the claims, however, are not limited to CDMA.

The Admitted Prior Art has been withdrawn as prior art because it involves the IS-95 standard and therefore uses a definition for

"pilot signal" that is inconsistent with that for "probe signal," as used in the references.

It should be noted, however, that Brennan et al. has nothing to do with CDMA. Furthermore, the last paragraph of Gerlach teaches away from the application of Gerlach to CDMA. It would therefore be helpful if some of the claims were amended to include the limitation that CDMA is used.